UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,406	03/01/2004	Emesto Garcia	19.0372	2405	
23718 7590 04/27/2007 SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE			EXAMINER		
			SMITH, MATTHEW J		
MD 200-9 SUGAR LAN	D TV 77478		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3637		
SOURK LAIN	D, 1X 11410	•			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/708,406	GARCIA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew J. Smith	3637	

<b>3</b>	LAGIIIIIGI	Ait Oill	
	Matthew J. Smith	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the contract of the con	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; o
b) The period for reply expires genorated from the mailing date  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension ce action; or
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the da le appeal. S
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first that the first that would require further compared to the first that the</li></ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			/D=01 00 11
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent cancelin
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provid 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		•	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce becaus
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: The examiner notes the Declaration from James</li> </ul>	Belaskie.		
	O ARIASA ROAS		

LANNA MAI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** 

amama

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. examiner contends applicants' are not solving a drilling problem with a production solution but a data transmission, analysis, and receproblem which is considered peripheral to the actual well operation. Thus the combination is considered analogous involving no hinds